1	STATE OF WISCONSIN CIRCUIT COURT DODGE COUNTY
2	BRANCH III
3	
4	
5	STATE OF WISCONSIN,
6	Plaintiff,
7	-vs- Case Nos. 2015-CF-256
8	JARED L. SPENCER, 2015-TR-6278
9	Defendant.
10	
11	Sentencing Hearing
12	
13	
14	HONORABLE JOSEPH G. SCIASCIA
15	Judge Presiding
16	
17	APPEARANCES:
18	ATTORNEY KURT F. KLOMBERG, District Attorney
19	for Dodge County, on behalf of the State of
20	Wisconsin;
21	
22	ATTORNEY CHARLES W. GIESEN, on behalf of, and
23	with, Defendant, Jared L. Spencer.
24	
25	Date of Proceedings: July 21, 2017.

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. We have two cases that will be called simultaneously here; 15-CF-256, State of Wisconsin and Jared Spencer and 15-TR-6278, Dodge County and Jared Spencer.

Mr. Spencer is here in person in custody with his attorney, Mr. Giesen. District

Attorney Klomberg is here for the State.

1.3

2.0

2.5

We have substantial time set aside for this case today, but as always, we do not have unlimited time. I believe a lot of people want to address the Court and I want to hear everything that everyone wants to say.

Since the lawyers are trained, I am going to ask them to be as efficient as possible in making their remarks. I'm going to give them all the time they need to make their point.

I need some time at the end. So please understand that I am responsible for explaining the discretion that I exercise in sentencing and I am going to need a little bit of time at the end. So hopefully everyone will be satisfied with the input that they have had.

We will break for lunch at 11:45 and reconvene at 1:00 unless I hear a violent

objection.

1.3

2.5

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I don't have a violent objection, Judge, but what I would ask, Mr. Giesen and I had a brief discussion about timing and we have six-and-a-half hours set aside for this. I don't think we are going to need that full amount of time. In fact, I think it's going to be considerably less than that.

What I request of the Court is that once my remarks begin, and I expect that they begin in the morning, that I finish my remarks without having them broken. And if we have to break a little early for lunch to start my remarks after lunch or go into the lunch hour a little bit that would be great, but I have prepared remarks and I don't want to be told half way through that I have to stop and pick it up again after lunch.

THE COURT: We'll try to accommodate you. We have a lot of people here who want to go someplace for lunch. My idea is to break at quarter to 12:00 so they can beat the rush hour. And we don't have unlimited options here in town for lunch.

So I will try to accommodate you. I

1 understand your position, but I don't know, it 2 may or may not be feasible. I'll see what I can do. 3 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: You may go. Go ahead, Counsel. 6 7 ATTORNEY GIESEN: I was just going to say 8 in the interest of saving Courtroom time, I have provided the Court with a number of documents 9 and submissions in advance of today. 10 11 THE COURT: Right. 12 ATTORNEY GIESEN: And I just wanted to be 1.3 certain that the Court has had an opportunity to receive and review all of those. 14 15 THE COURT: I read the two letters that 16 were sent yesterday. And you sent me two letters. Let me just make sure I have them all. 17 18 I read everything that's been filed at 19 least once up to today, which is part of what I 20 wanted to say here in a minute. 21 I have your letter of July 20th. I have 22 your sentencing report the day of June 26th. 23 have your letter of July 12th. I had printed 24 off some cases. I have your letter of

July 17th. And two letters of July 17th with

2.5

attachments which included the resources 1 2 available at the prison system. And then I did get the letters from Mr. Spencer's mother and 3 step grandmother yesterday. I read those. 4 So did I miss anything. 5 ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, that is everything. 6 7 Thank you, Your Honor, we appreciate the Court 8 reviewing that. THE COURT: You may notice that I have 9 10 11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

THE COURT: You may notice that I have some notes here. There is a substantial body of materials that have been sent and I wanted to be able to access information. So I typed up some notes. I do not have a decision here. So if anybody thinks that I walked in the Courtroom and already had my mind made up, it's not true. Which is why I wanted to emphasize that I do want to make sure everybody gets time to say what they want to say.

Do we have a stipulation as to restitution and pretrial incarceration?

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Restitution the only request is a \$38.98 from Mills Fleet Farm. I don't know if that's agreed to.

ATTORNEY GIESEN: They have that. There wasn't a loss.

1	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: That's the request I
2	have. I do not know.
3	That's the request that I have if there
4	is a dispute, we have a Hearing over \$38.
5	THE COURT: It may be that because this
6	is the box of ammunition, I assume?
7	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It is.
8	THE COURT: Maybe because it left the
9	store, they are not allowed to take it back.
10	ATTORNEY GIESEN: If that's the case, we
11	will stipulate to that.
12	THE COURT: Now, are you telling me that
13	there is no restitution claim for any other
14	medical bills or anything like that?
15	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I haven't received
16	any. And I have requested that that be checked
17	into. I haven't received any. Obviously, the
18	victims could make a request within 60 days of
19	the sentencing whether the Court could
20	accommodate the Hearing or not is
21	THE COURT: Well, my preference is to
22	have all those issues addressed at sentencing,
23	but if a request is filed in a timely manner and
24	if the parties can't agree, a Hearing will be
25	scheduled.

1	Pretrial incarceration credit?
2	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It's 738.
3	THE COURT: Agreed?
4	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: So Ordered.
6	The next thing on my list, any challenges
7	or corrections to the PSI?
8	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: The State doesn't
9	have any.
10	ATTORNEY GIESEN: No challenges to the
11	content, per se.
12	THE COURT: You are talking about the
13	facts and I realize that you reserve your right
14	to challenge the recommendation.
15	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes. And we don't
16	believe the data supports the recommendation. I
17	will address that.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Now, I would like to
19	get an idea who wants to address the Court and
20	do this in some kind of orderly manner.
21	We have a roster of who wishes to address
22	the Court. Do we have some kind of
23	understanding what order they are going to be
24	testifying in?
25	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Judge, my

1 understanding is the only victim that's going to 2 make a statement is Mr. Kruse. He has requested to make his statement from Counsel table. I 3 would ask that Victim Impact Statement, that 4 5 Victim Impact Statement go after any character witness, fact witness that would be presented on 6 either side. The State is not going to be 7 8 presenting any fact or character witness. THE COURT: So you want to allow 9 10 Mr. Spencer to present his supporting witnesses 11 first and then Mr. Kruse? Is it Kruse or Kruse? ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Kruse. 12 1.3 THE COURT: I want to make sure I get it 14 right. 15 Mr. Giesen, who do you wish to call? 16 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Mr. Spencer's father, 17 John; Mr. Spencer's mother, Peggy Andrew; his 18 step sister, Angela; his grandmother is also 19 present, but she doesn't wish to address the 20 Court. 21 There are other family members it seems a 22 little unorthodox that the State doesn't go 23 first. 24 THE COURT: I don't know how much 2.5 difference it really makes.

1	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Okay.
2	THE COURT: If you think your toes are
3	being stepped on, we'll do it the other way.
4	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I'm not asking
5	Counsel to argue first. I just want the fact
6	witnesses to be done first.
7	THE COURT: We're talking about the lay
8	people.
9	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I would certainly put
10	my mine on first, if I had any.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So you have the
12	victim.
13	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: But the victim is not
14	going to be sworn. He doesn't need to be sworn,
15	he is not subject to examination.
16	THE COURT: I am not sure that any of
17	them need to be sworn.
18	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Correct.
19	THE COURT: So is it John Spencer and his
20	mom, Ms. Andrew, right?
21	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Correct.
22	THE COURT: And the stepsister.
23	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes.
24	THE COURT: And as far as you know, are
25	there any others?

1 ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: So is there anything else we need to address before we allow the lay people 3 to come in and make their statement? 4 5 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I don't think so, Judge. 6 7 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Just following up on 8 the Court's comments, I assume these will just be statements to the Court and not testimony or 9 Cross-Examination? 10 11 THE COURT: It's done different ways. 12 They are not witnesses, they are not on trial, it would be fair to allow both sides to ask them 1.3 some questions, right, if they wanted to. 14 15 That's commonly done. 16 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I guess my thought 17 is, my practice in other Courts practices have 18 always been that when they are being put on for 19 character reasons, they're testifying providing 20 evidence to the Court and that should be sworn 21 testimony subject to Cross-Examination. 22 I don't know that I am going to 23 Cross-Examine them, but --24 THE COURT: Well, it can be done either 2.5 way. If there is a request that they be sworn

1	they will take the witness oath. It's fair. If
2	you offer something, it's got to be reliable.
3	And the Court and the parties are entitled to
4	know that they are doing it under oath.
5	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: So do we have an
7	understanding as to who wants to be called first
8	or who wants to present their statement first?
9	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: While Mr. Giesen is
10	working that out, can we get the computer going?
11	It takes a very long time to load because of the
12	software.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: If they want to
15	commence.
16	THE COURT: Do you have somebody that you
17	would like to call first?
18	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes. We would ask
19	John Spencer.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Spencer.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Please come up to the witness
23	stand and raise your right hand.
24	(Witness sworn.)
25	

1	JOHN SPENCER,
2	called as a witness herein, having been first
3	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
4	follows:
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY THE COURT:
7	Q. Please have a seat.
8	Mr. Spencer, you have a chance to make a
9	statement to the Court. Take a deep breath and
10	relax.
11	Please be aware of the fact that the
12	microphone is movable and that if you don't
13	speak really close to it, it won't pick up.
14	Okay?
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. The lawyers will have a chance to ask you
17	some questions when you are finished and I might
18	have some. Okay?
19	A. Okay.
20	Q. Start by stating your name and address.
21	A. My name is John Spencer. My address is
22	2990 Austin Street, Fitchburg, Wisconsin.
23	Q. And you are Jared's father?
24	A. Yes, I am.
25	Q. You now have an opportunity to address

1 the Court with regard to sentencing. You have 2 the floor. 3 Α. Your Honor, all I would like to speak to is Jared now versus Jared two years ago when I 4 visited him the first time at this facility. 5 Today he is coherent, he puts together 6 7 excellent thoughts. That first time, Jared 8 wasn't there. I didn't hardly recognize him. think that the work that Mendota put in in 9 10 stabilizing his medication and stabilizing him 11 has shown brightly over the last three or four months since he has been back here. 12 I can't tell Mr. Kruse how sorry I am 1.3 about what happened. My family is -- feels 14 15 terrible about it, but we can't change the past. 16 We can only look to make the future better. 17 I don't believe the type of sentence that the DA is asking for benefits anyone; not 18 19 society, not Jared, not our family. And I don't 2.0 believe the victim's family benefits from it. Jared needs treatment. Jared needs to be 21 22 in therapy working on maturing. Those are the 23 things that I want to bring to light here. 24 Q. Anything else you want to say?

I

2.5

Α.

No, sir.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Giesen, do you have any
2	questions for this gentleman?
3	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes.
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY ATTORNEY GIESEN:
6	Q. John, you have been here for Jared for
7	every Court appearance, is that correct?
8	A. Every Court appearance and once a week
9	for the last two years on a Thursday to visit.
10	Q. If the Court were to see fit to place
11	Jared on Probation with whatever rigorous
12	conditions it felt appropriate, would you assist
13	in seeing to it that those conditions were
14	complied with?
15	A. In any way I could, yes.
16	Q. If he deviated from any conditions, would
17	you take it upon yourself to advise his
18	supervising agent of any such problem?
19	A. Yes, I would.
20	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you. I have
21	nothing further.
22	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I don't have any
23	questions.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Your input
25	is very important to the Court. You may step

1	down.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: You're welcome.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	THE COURT: Mr. Giesen?
6	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes, we would call
7	Angela Spencer and ask that she address the
8	Court.
9	THE COURT: Good morning. Please come up
10	to the witness stand and raise your right hand.
11	(Witness sworn.)
12	EXAMINATION
13	BY THE COURT:
14	Q. Please have a seat. Please feel free to
15	adjust the microphone and to speak directly into
16	it. Take a deep breath and relax. This is your
17	opportunity to address the Court. When you are
18	finished, the lawyers and I might have some
19	questions for you. Okay.
20	You can start by stating your name and
21	address for the record.
22	A. Okay. My name is Angela Spencer. My
23	address is 5714 Crab Apple Lane, Madison,
24	Wisconsin 53711.
25	Q. How are you related to Jared?

_____15 __

1 Α. I am Jared's only sister. We have the 2 Jared and I are five years apart, same father. 3 but we have a very close relationship. When I need something, I can call him and he is always 4 5 there for me. When I am sick, he brings me soup and a heating pad and tucks me in. He calls and 6 7 checks on me. We talk about anything and

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

everything.

I have two daughters, they are 13 and nine. My nine-year-old has ADHD like Jared does. Jared has been so helpful in helping me navigate the situation of having a daughter with emotional and behavioral problems. He talked to me about how important it is to get her help and to get her medicine and talk to me about the differences that he would feel growing up in school of when he was medicated versus when he wasn't.

He has more patience with my youngest daughter than I have ever seen anyone have. And she worships him. And they miss him so much.

When Jared was in elementary school I recall an incident where he had locked himself in the principal's office and called me and asked me to come pick him up because the

teachers had surrounded him and he was scared.

Q. Say that again, please. The teacher what?

1.3

2.0

2.5

A. Because they had -- he was having a behavioral issue and they have a response team that comes. And they all approached him and he was scared. So he ran into the room, locked the door and called me and asked me to please come save him from that situation. I wish that I could save him from this situation.

When Jared takes his medicine, his anxiety and impulsivity are drastically improved. Jared was young, just 17 when this happened. His brain was not fully developed when this incident took place.

I'm so sorry to the victim and his family and I truly hope that the victim is able to fully heal, recover from this and go on to have a healthy and happy life.

I also hope that Your Honor will consider the circumstances and understand that Jared is very young and he needs help. Please give him the punishment that will allow him to be rehabilitated and not thrown away with no hope for a future. I know he can become a

1 productive, healthy and contributing member of 2 society because he is just a sweetheart. really is if you knew him. And this is not 3 indicative of the -- the incident is not 4 5 indicative of the person he truly is. Not to say he doesn't take responsibility, 6 7 because he does, but I think there was a lot of 8 additional circumstances. But I just really want my brother to be able to be punished and 9 rehabilitated and move on and contribute and 10 11 continue to be the brother I can count on and 12 the uncle that my girls can count on. 1.3 Thank you. THE COURT: Attorney Giesen. 14 15 ATTORNEY GIESEN: I have no questions. 16 THE COURT: Attorney Klomberg? 17 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: No questions. 18 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Your input 19 is very important. You may step down. 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 21 (Witness excused.) 22 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Jared's mother, Becky 23 Andrew would like to address the Court. 24 (Witness sworn.) 2.5

EXAMINATION

BY THE COURT:

1.3

2.5

- Q. Please have a seat. Good morning, ma'am.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. You heard the suggestions I gave to the other witnesses to try to relax, use the microphone.

This is your chance to make a statement. So you can start by stating your name and address for the record.

- A. My name is Peggy Andrew,
 2306 Westchester Road, Fitchburg, Wisconsin.
- Q. Okay. You now have the floor. You may proceed.
- A. The day after this happened, my family and Jared's friends all gathered at my house.

 And everyone said that it had to have been someone else in the car because Jared would never hurt a fly. And they were stunned. Those kids gathered around me for months.

Jared has always been my rock and my support. And I have been his. I foster Greyhounds and take animals into my home to heal them. Jared has always helped me with that. And he has been kind and gentle and patient with

all of the Greyhounds and special animals that we have had in our home.

1.3

2.5

I also, uhm, have always had my home open to any of the kids or people that needed a soft place to land for a while. Not just animals, but people that need some time to get back on their feet. And Jared has always been there for me with that and been kind and supportive of the people that have come through our house.

It always hasn't been easy, but he has always been up to the task of helping. I really need him home, on his medication and in therapy.

I think this has been a tough chapter in his life, but it's not the end of his book. And I'm -- I spoke to one of the CO's yesterday when I came to pick up some of Jared's property and she remarked to me what a drastic difference there was between the Jared that came to them two years ago and who he is now and the maturity and growth that they have seen.

This has been very difficult for my family. And we all will stand beside Jared to help him become a productive part of our society. I think putting him away somewhere will -- make his ability to be productive go

1 actually backwards. Because I worked really 2 hard and his father and I have worked really 3 hard together to try and raise Jared. 4 though we weren't together anymore, we stood 5 together along with our new spouses and our new families together. Because it was about him not 6 7 about us. And he still needed all of us. he still has all of us. 8 THE COURT: Anything else you want to 9 10 say? 11 Attorney Giesen. 12 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes. Thank you. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY ATTORNEY GIESEN: 15 Ms. Andrew, you mentioned a conversation Q. 16 that you had with a CO regarding Jared's change 17 in behavior in the two years since he has been 18 here. Can you tell us what is a CO? The corrections officer. 19 Α. 20 Q. That would be a Dodge County jailer? 21 Yes, I was there yesterday and I was -- I Α. 22 send Jared a lot of books because he likes to 23 And so when I pick up his books, it's 24 usually, uhm, very weighty and so they bring it

out in a cart for me and help me load it in the

2.5

1	car.
2	Q. And she expressed those observations?
3	A. Absolutely.
4	Q. Thank you.
5	ATTORNEY GIESEN: I have nothing else.
6	THE COURT: Attorney Klomberg.
7	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Nothing.
8	THE COURT: You can step down, ma'am.
9	Thank you for your statement.
LO	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
L1	(Witness excused.)
L2	THE COURT: Anyone else?
L3	ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor. We
L 4	would have submitted some written statements in
L5	support of Jared. And at this point the
L 6	Court Richard Shaller who worked for the
L7	Department of Corrections was an Officer for 28
L8	years. And he noted that Jared's behavior
L 9	exhibited dramatic improvement while he was at
20	Mendota Mental Health and feels that he would
21	benefit from the a comprehensive treatment
22	program certainly would be more rehabilitative
23	and useful than incarceration and the Court has
24	already read the other submissions in that
25	regard.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So that would leave
2	Mr. Kruse.
3	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Actually, Judge. I
4	was handed a note during the presentation.
5	Bryce Berger, another one of the victims is
6	present and he also has decided he would like to
7	make a statement.
8	Mr. Kruse is going to go first, I
9	believe.
10	THE COURT: Come up to the you can do
11	it there. You have to take the oath.
12	(Witness sworn.)
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY THE COURT:
15	Q. Mr. Kruse, please have a seat. Draw the
16	mic up, take a deep breath and relax.
17	Start by stating your name and address
18	for the record.
19	A. My name is Andrew Kruse. My address is
20	W-210 N-16682 Western Avenue, Jackson,
21	Wisconsin.
22	Q. And you now have the opportunity to
23	address the Court. You have the floor.
24	A. Thank you, Your Honor.
25	Uhm, I have a statement written and I'll
	-23-

refer to that and read that, but I do have a few thoughts, if I may, before I do that.

2.5

Uhm, I have thought about quite a bit lately what I would prefer to happen today. Those who know about the situation know us and care about our outcomes, they ask what I would hope would happen today. And I have a very good answer, Your Honor. Uhm, I'm glad you are making that decision and not me. Because quite frankly, to be blunt, what I want is impossible. And I know that.

Of course, I would like for this to not have happened. And I'm sure many others in the Courtroom feel the same way, but that isn't possible. So I think this is a great opportunity for me to say something that my parents taught me, I have taught my children and that's that the Good Lord, he teaches us to forgive. And that is exactly what we do as Christians. I want my children to hear that message today.

And, of course, along with that, the consequence of the actions of Jared's actions.

I think the most important thing that you can hear and this Court could hear are, of course,

in relation to that, the impacts that this has had on me and, of course, my family, most specifically my wife and my children as referred to in my statement.

1.3

2.5

So regarding Jared's decision to shoot
me, there was indeed life before the shooting
and now there is life after. They are very
different. Though my life is irreversibly
changed as a result of Jared's decision to shoot
me, I am grateful, of course, to still have it.

It's difficult to fully express the full impact that this event has had on my life and the lives of my wife and children because it is, of course, far reaching and unfortunately still developing.

I'm still dealing and wrestling with the daily psychological changes that have come as a result. And those psychological changes have continued to impact my family in a manner of ways.

In the days following the shooting, I experienced tremendous physical pain. It was poorly regulated for the first eight weeks until the doctors were able to find the right amount of medication for nerve pain. This caused many

sleepless nights counting the minutes until the next dose of medication.

1.3

2.5

And made further sleepless as our children began to suffer from nightmares of bad men with guns. They were scared to come today, as a matter of fact. These nightmares continued for over a year. All three of my children have struggled to understand why someone would do this. And they ask us about their own safety when we drive anywhere, large cities.

After this happened, they learned we were moving closer to Milwaukee. Their classmates told them Milwaukee is where shootings happen and that, of course, further upset them.

Ultimately, in regards to my children the phrase my dad got shot or the news about this event is the first thing that they share with anyone they meet.

My wife has been remarkable. She certainly cared for us physically and psychologically through all of this. I'm grateful and humbled by her ability to do that.

While experiencing her own levels of anxiety in the aftermath of the shooting, which she hasn't benefitted from treatment as I have.

She drove me to seemingly countless appointments, dressed my wounds and helped me during panic attacks and moments of crisis while simultaneously managing our home and our daily lives and making sure we were all fed and unquestionably loved. All done through selfless effort. And the impact on her has been tremendous.

2.5

So, I mentioned psychological impacts.

I'll speak to those. My first panic attack occurred almost exactly four weeks after the shooting. And it was as if that first panic attack opened the flood gate.

I had experienced some flashbacks and sensitivity to things like loud noises after the shooting, but all of that would pale in comparison to the diagnosis that I received for PTSD.

My arm hurt for a long time. It took

almost a year for me to stop taking medication

to get through my day regarding physical pain.

I still have numbness, stiffness, a loss of

strength in my dominant hand and my arm and my

shoulder, but what I have experienced

psychologically has been far more life changing.

It was clear that my life would be changed as a result of being shot by Jared, but the future of my job was in question at that time. I had very serious injuries, but I truly had no idea how much my life would change due to the psychological impacts as a result of the shooting.

2.5

So what I experience today is fear of many things that really truly pose no threat.

I'm hypervigilant in crowded areas like departments stores, parking lots and unfortunately church. I struggle most in a room with people around me.

A lot of noises like thunder, fireworks and slamming car doors cause flashbacks and anxiety. And I am hyper-attuned to my personal well-being. A slight inconvenience can cause a panic and heightened sensitivity. Anything that alters my personal state, even a cup of coffee causes me to feel very anxious about my health.

Essentially, I feel like the world's biggest hypochondriac. I'm constantly worried about the pace and control of my breathing.

Most likely because the ability to control my breathing is sort of my greatest defense against

a lot of the symptoms that I experience.

1.3

2.5

I still experience nightmares of being shot, reliving the sensation. I have difficulty sleeping most nights, several nights a week.

And I spend a lot of time in the middle of the night getting up and checking to see whether or not the doors are locked for fear of something like this or something bad happening to us again.

Whenever I head out to the store or work or home, I'm constantly assessing my surroundings and creating plans in my head or what I will do if gunshots ring out again or something bad starts happening to myself or my family.

There are some other PTSD symptoms that are extremely frustrating unrelated to panic and anxiety that I do experience. The best words I found to define that are mental fog. Whether I am at work or at home, I have a hard time finding the words to speak clearly when I am not reading a written statement, of course. And it's difficult to come up with new ideas and I need to take frequent breaks when I need to focus.

The hard truth, the hard truth in my life in all this is my right arm won't ever be the same. And neither will my head. The psychological impact of the shooting extended into my personal relationship and made it difficult for me to be fully mentally present with my family, friends and colleagues.

1.3

2.5

And finally, I live with fear and will be ever vigilant over the threat of something like this happening again.

In regards to today's outcome, again, I know that regardless of any circumstances or detail, I'm fearful of Jared Spencer's return to society. I fully expect that day to come. And intellectually I fully understand that it must, but I share the reality of my situation and condition when I say that I am profoundly frightened by it.

There is no question that this has permanently changed my life and the life of my family. I'm thankful to God that we are still all together. We trust God's judgment and will for us and we know that this is part of his will and we pray for the strength to continue and he continues to grant it. That's all I have.

1 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 2 Mr. Giesen. ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes, I would like to 3 express to Mr. Kruse that Jared has asked me to 4 5 express to you that he bears no personal 6 animosity towards you, whatsoever. He is deeply 7 sorrowful for your situation and wanted me to 8 tell you that he regrets the consequences, the 9 results. He had no intent to harm you on the 10 day and thinks every day about the suffering 11 that you are going through. 12 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1.3 THE COURT: Mr. Klomberg. 14 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: No questions. 15 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. (Witness excused.) 16 17 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Mr. Berger would like 18 to make a statement. 19 Your Honor, Mr. Berger has asked not to 20 give out his address. I ask that you identify 21 him in a different fashion. 22 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. Please 23 feel free to adjust the microphone. You have to 24 stand up and raise your right hand and take the 2.5 oath, please.

1 (Witness sworn.) 2 BRYCE A. BERGER, called as a witness herein, having been first 3 4 duly sworn, was examined and testified as 5 follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY THE COURT: 8 Now, Mr. Berger, if you don't want to Q. give out your address, can you tell us what city 9 10 you live in? 11 Α. Yes, that's fine. 12 Q. Okay. So would you please state your name and city of residence for the record. 1.3 14 Bryce Berger and Rio, Wisconsin. 15 You now have an opportunity to address 0. 16 the Court you may proceed. First of all, I want to express my 17 18 sympathy to the Spencer family. I understand to 19 a certain extent what you guys are going 20 through. You may or may not know in my 21 profession I'm a police officer. With that 22 being said, I have kind of seen both sides of 23 what's transpiring today. 24 And one thing that I did notice that I 2.5 want to bring to the Court's attention and to

-32 **-**

everybody in here, there are many, many, many victims in this incident. Not only myself, not only Mr. Kruse, Kruse's children, Kruse's family.

1.3

2.0

2.5

Every single person with Mr. Spencer's actions that day started a chain of events. The officers that had to initiate a traffic stop knowing that there was a loaded handgun in that car put everybody at risk; their families, every person in the parking lot when that shot was fired. The victim, the store itself.

I just want to make sure that everybody knows that I heard a lot of comments today just regarding to the specific individual that was shot. Okay. Those actions are unexplainable.

The fact that he wasn't on his medication and I get that, I see a lot of that in my profession, not properly medicated, not taking them, but whose responsibility is that?

He is 17. Are there family members that are going to assist with that? One way that I believe that that would be easily monitored would be to be incarcerated. For how long, I have no idea. That's not my decision to make.

But someone made a decision that day to

put a loaded handgun in the middle of the front two seats. Someone made a decision that day to go get or go steal ammunition from a store in Beaver Dam, Wisconsin that fits the handgun that was in the center console.

2.5

What was going to happen? What did we possibly prevent from happening later on that day? And one thing that I really struggled with personally is that I made the decision coming here, that I probably wasn't going to speak and just let things play its course, but I didn't want to regret the opportunity to make the situation of giving everybody my thoughts and everybody thinking outside of the box, because we are here focusing on everything. And I think everything needs to be brought up.

And I'm probably going to forget some things, but I don't have nothing laid out in front of me that I was going to say. I do know that in the future, that I wish we could just skip over July. I have a lot of bad things, tragedies in July. Several dates in July are not good for me. The passing of my father last week.

Another thing that I told myself

before -- as soon as getting back on scene, I am a law enforcement officer, I made a decision that I was not going to carry off duty. I don't even carry a badge, ID, nothing. And I kind of went through there is a lot of issues with thinking in my mind that in the situation as such happened with Mr. Kruse, if I would be able to live with it.

1.3

2.5

And I know for a fact that if I was carrying that day off duty, the outcome may have been different. And I personally believe the only reason why Mr. Spencer did not shoot the first time when he pulled the gun when I was two feet away from the window of his car is because of the glass that separated us in between and he didn't know what was going to happen.

At that point in time, if I would have been carrying off duty, I don't know. I'm glad within reason that no one was killed. The situation ended as well as it could have, I guess, if he would have missed. But when you back out of a parking stall, have an out in a vehicle, pull forward, stop, roll down the passenger side window, point the gun at three people that are standing side by side trying to

call 911. And hopefully no one ever has a bullet go right past my chest into Mr. Kruse's wrist through and through into his shoulder because if that would have hit me, it would have been through and through from shoulder to shoulder right through my chest cavity.

2.5

And then, yes, I'm trained to remember license plates, description of the gun, direction of travel and stuff like that in relaying that to an individual who is going into shock, because I'm kneeling over him putting pressure on his wound, my hand covered in blood and I am not bringing up any suggestions here, but bare hand exposed to blood numerous infectious, diseases, okay. And I feel confident that I am okay with that. Okay, but these are some other things that people don't think of.

I guarantee Mr. Spencer didn't think of that going to Fleet Farm, I'm just going to steal this stuff and then, you know, whatever is going to happen. Every single person coming there responding. Everybody was put at risk. The behavior of Mr. Spencer leaving the parking lot at such a high rate of speed. There is a

lot of victims here.

And I want to personally apologize to Mr. Kruse and his family for what you guys are going through. And I'm struggling with it basically daily that I could have possibly stopped it if I would have carried off duty.

You still have your job. You still probably will be able to go into a Fleet Farm.

And for the kids. And your whole family. And I am sorry to the Spencer family for what happened.

I just want him to get the proper treatment and I think the proper treatment would be in a more monitored location which I believe would be incarceration, not home treatment. I understand people promised they will see and report stuff if he is on Probation, I firsthand witnessed that it doesn't work so well in Law Enforcement. There are a lot of things that happen that can't be monitored at home or, you know, even a group home and stuff like that. It's really tough.

I guess that's it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

Mr. Giesen.

2.5

1	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you.
2	EXAMINATION
3	BY ATTORNEY GIESEN:
4	Q. Mr. Berger, thank you for your remarks.
5	I would just like to clarify one thing. You
6	made reference to the officers who pulled
7	Jared's vehicle over after this incident.
8	Jared did surrender peacefully, did he
9	not?
10	A. I wasn't there, but the whole idea being
11	a Law Enforcement Officer it isn't. Nothing is
12	peaceful about having a gun in a vehicle going
13	down the road who did not stay.
14	Q. Sir, you have no information that he
15	brandished the weapon, showed that to the
16	officers or resisted them in fashion, do you?
17	A. I am just making a point that a gun in a
18	car leaving the scene of a shooting is not a
19	very easy, calming situation for anybody to deal
20	with. That was my point.
21	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you.
22	THE COURT: Attorney Klomberg?
23	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Nothing.
24	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Your input
25	is very much appreciated by the Court.

1 (Witness excused.) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Klomberg, can you get it 3 done or what do you think? ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Well, Judge, I expect 4 5 my remarks will probably very well may be an hour in length. I think we can be done before 6 7 noon. 8 THE COURT: Start now. ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Judge, first I want 9 10 to deal with the second charge or the second 11 conviction that the Court is sentencing on 12 today. Because it's really not the focus of 13 what we are dealing with in the TR situation. In 15-TR-6278, we are recommending \$150 14 15 fine plus costs, six months suspension of 16 license, AODA, Victim Impact Panel and whatever 17 blood draw fee from the hospital that was 18 involved. I'm not going to say anything more 19 about that. That is the what the Court has to 20 sentence on that. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Giesen, can we take these 22 a little bit out of order? Is there a dispute 23 about what the penalty should be on that case? 24 ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, there is not, 2.5 Your Honor.

1 THE CLERK: I have to have a blood draw 2 fee. ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I have to look back 3 4 and see what hospital he was taken to. I can get that. 5 THE COURT: Did we miss any components 6 7 for that? ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: 8 No. THE COURT: We do not want any 9 ambiguities in the record. 10 11 Okay. Mr. Klomberg, you have the floor. 12 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Well, Judge, I 1.3 learned a lot of things in prosecuting this case about the facts as I looked through it. 14 15 And I quess we can start with information 16 that maybe the Court only learned in preparation for sentencing. The firearm involved here was 17 18 purchased illegally on the street. 19 And the Defendant did that apparently 20 after he had a drug deal that went bad. He had 21 a gun held to his head and somebody shot at him. 22 He also references this burglary to his 23 home, but the gun wasn't in the home to protect the home. He bought this gun because of the 24 2.5 drug deal that went bad and the burglary was an

afterthought to throw in here and make it sound a little bit better.

1.3

2.5

This was an illegally purchased firearm by a 17-year-old who is not even allowed to purchase a handgun locally in a store. And he bought it on the street for a few hundred dollars.

I think we start there. And then that day he took that gun loaded and decided he needed to carry that in his car. He was going to the range to go shooting, so I can understand that, perhaps, he wants the gun to go shooting, but he is a 17-year-old individual. He can't have a concealed carry permit. You go to the range and you transport firearms that aren't properly licensed to carry a weapon, they go in the trunk, they are cased, they are unloaded.

That's not what we have here. We have an individual who is rolling around, I posit as a matter of course in his life, armed at 17 years old because he was involved in a drug deal that went bad. Amoral, illegal conduct. That's where we start.

So then the Defendant went to Fleet Farm high on drugs and decided to steal ammunition,

which ammunition I don't think you can even purchase there. Handgun ammunition most retailers won't sell it to people under the age of 21. So he couldn't get it out unless he stole it. He stole that ammunition.

1.3

2.5

And he gets caught. He is contacted by three individuals. Mr. Berger is off duty. He is actually there shopping for himself and notices that the shoplifting is going on.

Ms. Kunkel is a loss-prevention agent.

She also is aware that this is going on. And in my view of this, they kind of came to the understanding that the shoplifting was occurring independently of each other, but then there was some communication between them.

And Ms. Kunkel follows the Defendant out into the parking lot and Mr. Kruse, the manager, goes out, as well. And eventually Mr. Berger comes out to see if he can assist in the situation.

And all they are doing is trying to convince him that he needs to stay. Ms. Kunkel was in between him and the direction that he was traveling and Mr. Kruse told her to get out of his way. This isn't our policy. We don't hold

people. She never puts her hands on him.

1.3

2.5

The most aggressive thing she did was stand between him and his car and he was walking out and then they just followed him. They followed him and he gets in his car and he locks himself in his car. But on the way walking there, Mr. Kruse has now entered the incident. And he says to Mr. Kruse, stop following me, that's how people get their ass beat. Quote, that's how people get their ass beat, unquote. So he is threatening already right then and there.

Frankly, there is a crime committed beyond the retail theft of witness intimidation. Andrew informed him that they were going to get his plate and report him to the police. That's all. Go to your car, we are just going to get your license plate. Stay here and wait for the police to come, we caught you.

We're talking about \$38 worth of ammo. A \$38 item. Retail theft, no criminal record, it's an ordinance. It's an ordinance.

He gets in the car, he locks his doors.

They are trying to convince him not to leave.

You will see in a moment when I play the video

they all back away.

1.3

2.5

I know Your Honor is familiar with Fleet
Farm in Beaver Dam. There is that main entrance
pathway for cars that come in off the main
street there, Spring Street. And as you go
towards the parking lot towards the building,
there is a large sidewalk curb that divides that
main thoroughfare from the various parking
stalls that are outside. People walk along that
curb. You park perpendicular to that main
thoroughfare on the other side of that curb.

And that's where the Defendant is parked, on the north side of that main thoroughfare.

And the three individuals back up and they get up on that curb. They are not even in the parking lot at that point. They are up on the curb. They are not in a parking stall area.

And Andrew calls 911. As the Defendant backs his car out of the parking stall, he draws his gun, he points it at Bryce and like Bryce said, the window was up. Did he shoot him out of the driver's side window? I think Bryce's inference as to why he didn't pull the trigger at that point is probably a good one.

And he backs up the car, and you will see

1	this on the video. And at this point Andrew is
2	on with 911 and he stops the car and shoots out
3	the passenger window and hits Andrew in the
4	wrist. It goes through his wrist into his
5	shoulder. The bullet lodges.
6	THE COURT: Shoots out the passenger side
7	window?
8	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: The passenger side
9	window.
10	And then drives away at a high rate of
11	speed. And I want to show the Court that. Can
12	I please have the video?
13	I will need the screen, Judge. Yes, sir.
14	For the record, what I am going to play for the
15	Court is Exhibit 1.
16	THE COURT: Any objection to Exhibit 1,
17	Counsel?
18	ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: One received.
20	(Whereupon, Exhibit Number
21	1 was received into
22	evidence as of July 21, 2017.)
23	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: So what we are seeing
24	here and the time stamp is pretty accurate. And
25	so at 5:24 and 41 seconds p.m. And what you are

looking at is south to north across the Fleet Farm parking lot.

1.3

2.5

To the right of the screen you can see the white box portion of the building. That's where the main entrance is.

And as I play this forward -- I am just going to play it forward here.

And some people have emerged from the front. Right here where my cursor is pointed is the Defendant and Ms. Kunkel. Ms. Kunkel is at this point, I believe, behind him. And we'll watch as we go forward.

The person that just emerged in the white shirt, that should be Mr. Kruse. It's very difficult to see because of the resolution, but as videos go, theirs is a pretty good video system.

You can see here at this 5:25:22

Ms. Kunkel and Mr. Kruse are between the

Defendant and the building on the opposite side
of his vehicle from him and they appear to all
be just kind of there standing talking. And
there is clear separation between all of their
persons. What you can see here is another
person that has emerged from the store is

walking in the direction that they are. That's Bryce.

2.0

2.5

And just to recap here, we are at 5:26:46. Over the last period that I played, you actually could see at one point they all start to walk back towards the Fleet Farm building and then the Defendant turns and starts walking back towards his car. They are over in this area where I am pointing and that's where the Defendant's car is located and Bryce is approaching their location.

I will pause it right there at 5:27:22. You can see that three individuals have now -- they are up on the curb. And move this forward and that car that just emerged was the Defendant's car.

I'm going to back this up. Backing up to 5:27:21, I am going to play this forward by steps. There is the Defendant's car that just emerges from behind the other vehicle that's parked there.

At this point the shooting has actually already occurred. And you can see Andrew start to fall at that point. I can back it up here at 5:27:21.

THE COURT: Go back a little bit. I want to see the car in a parked position if it's visible.

1.3

2.5

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It's not from this angle. I will show the Court another angle in a moment.

So I will step this forward just to the point where the car begins to emerge at 5:27:22 behind the other object. And if you will watch the person in the trio in the middle, that's Andrew. And you can see the moment that he begins to drop. And there he goes down at 5:27:24. And the Defendant is driving away.

Now I'm going to switch angles here. And before I do that, I'm going to play this forward. You can see the Defendant's car comes out. He is obscured by the building and then there he goes out the parking lot at a high rate of speed toward Spring Street behind the gas station portion of the business.

Now I'm going to switch to the other angle in the parking lot. Okay. Now this is the opposite end of the building north looking south. And I am going to back it up -- actually, I have to go forward because we

recessed the video when we changed angles. Okay.

1.3

2.5

And I have started it again here at about 5:26:13 and right in this area here you can see the individuals talking and this is where they actually start to go back towards the building. And then the Defendant decides that he's going to go for his car. You can see Bryce come around, the three victims move up onto the curb.

At this point, they are out of sight, but this vehicle right here is the Defendant's vehicle. And I'm starting again at 5:27:15. He starts to back out, stops and drives. What you can see at this point is he has pointed the gun at Bryce and we step this forward, he backs out and he has a clear path. Nobody is blocking his way. You saw the car drive out of the parking lot. He is in a vehicle, they are on foot.

Nobody has threatened him with a weapon. He is just free going. The only thing that's going on is Andrew is calling 911. He told him he was going to do that. And at this point he is shot.

THE COURT: Do you need the screen in the next few minutes?

ATTORNEY GIESEN: No.

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: So the video clearly shows he is not threatened. They are just walking with him and at one point he actually starts to walk back towards the building with them, but decides he doesn't want to face the music for stealing the \$38 worth of ammo.

And he is in a vehicle. It shows that the vehicle is already pointed out of the stall

1.3

2.0

2.5

And he is in a vehicle. It shows that the vehicle is already pointed out of the stall and ready to drive away and he stops and shoots Andrew who is on the phone with police. I think that's important. The guy who is calling the police is the one that gets shot. The guy who said you are going to get your ass beat is the one that gets shot.

I saw on one of these documents the Defendant's mother speculated that the gun went off accidentally. That's absurd. This was intentional. This was intended.

THE COURT: For purposes of making sure we have the facts straight, my notes indicate it was a revolver.

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Yes.

THE COURT: Agreed, Mr. Giesen?

ATTORNEY GIESEN: Yes.

THE COURT: A 357.

ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I believe a 38.
THE COURT: A 38-caliber, either way.
Okay. Go ahead.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Can I have that audio
now, Judge? I want to play the 911.
Do we have external speakers on that?
THE COURT: Now you've got me. I don't
know.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: There is an audio
output that is set up here, Judge. It will be
better quality, better. I can play it on my
computer and just plug in this output, but I
don't know.
THE COURT: Well, as soon as I fix my
mistake here, we'll get back to that screen. On
his system.
It takes time to warm up, so we can't do
anything while it's warming up. And if I shut
it down, it takes time to shut down, so we have
to wait. So it is what it is.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: While we are waiting,
this is Exhibit 2 and I would move for receiving
it for purposes of sentencing.
THE COURT: Any objections to receiving
Exhibit 2?

1 ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Exhibit 1 and 2 received. 2 (Whereupon, Exhibit Number 2 3 was received into evidence as 4 5 of July 21, 2017.) 6 THE COURT: Try it now. 7 (Audio playing.) 8 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I'm going to stop it at two minutes. I'm going to go back to the 9 10 first few seconds of the recording, that's all 11 I'm going to replay a couple of times here. You 12 can hear the 911 call pick up. 1.3 Nine seconds into the call, you can hear the gun shot. And just so that -- I'm stopping 14 15 it there. I won't need any more audio or video, 16 17 Judge. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Giesen, if you need it, 19 we'll turn it back on. 20 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you. 21 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: So I think the 911 is 22 very telling. Andrew is shot through the wrist 23 while he is holding the phone to his ear and the 24 bullet goes through his arm and enters his 2.5 shoulder inches from his head and chest.

This was no accident. This was a retaliatory act. Serious physical injuries result, well described in the report. And there is a long, long treatment. Significant physical pain.

1.3

2.5

And then there is the PTSD that Andrew describes. He is going to live with that for his life. His kids and wife are traumatized. The family is just never going to be the same over \$38.98 in ammunition.

And the 911 call, I think, is the most critical piece of evidence in the entire case because what it shows, especially for purposes here today, Judge, because what it shows is that the Defendant made good on his promise, on his threat. He didn't shoot Bryce. He didn't shoot Kendra. He shot the manager who said we're going to call 911 and report you to the police.

And he did so while he was doing that. He shot the guy who was on the phone calling 911. That was no accident. That was intentional. That's who he was aiming for.

What was an accident is that he didn't kill him over \$38 in ammunition, an ordinance retail theft.

This is a highly aggravated crime. is significant injury, significant lasting There is a use of a firearm. effect. It's retaliation and to trying to avoid apprehension for a different crime. Witness intimidation is Several read-ins here that the Court involved. has dismissed and read in, all serious felonies. He is under the influence of drugs at the time. He brought the firearm to the event and had it at the ready. It's an illegal possession of the He is 17 years old. He doesn't have a concealed carry permit, he is illegally transporting and he purchased it illegally and he is stealing ammunition for the firearm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

When you compare this attempted homicide charge to other attempted homicide charges and you look at those factors, this is a maximally aggravated event for all those reasons. You can't get more aggravated on an attempted homicide charge. The next step is homicide.

And in this case when compared to other attempted homicides, for all those factors covering up the crime, use of the firearm, the outcome, this is a maximum aggravation level offense.

So now we have to turn to his character. There is no criminal record. There is minor ordinance violations that he talks about in one of the reports. There is nothing significant, but -- and I look at the Defendant's Presentence and we have a history of aggression and violent behavior throughout his childhood.

1.3

2.0

2.5

And it has to be throughout his childhood because that's all we have. He is only 17 years old, but what we have is documentation in the Defense's own documents of a long history of physical aggression and violence.

He typically acted out the perceived threat in threatening talk of violent behavior or by attacking others physically. He started having behavioral problems, which included being verbally and physically aggressive with peers and teachers.

He was physically aggressive with his mother and other adults when a limit was set.

He continued to have problems with poor social skills and defined an aggressive behavior. And those are all different points.

Defendant wants to claim mental illness.

It's not an excuse. It's not an excuse. Mental

illness does not cause people to commit crimes.

There are the majority of mentally ill people
who suffer with mental illness, serious mental
illness and they don't get criminal problems.

The worse behavior is some sort of disorderly
conduct because of manifestation of their
symptoms. Criminal conduct is not the result of
mental illness. It's not.

2.5

Most people who suffer from mental illness do not find themselves in a criminal Court, certainly not for attempted homicide.

And we are not even sure about the level of his mental illness.

He goes to Mendota. And let's remember Dr. Chapman's report, she had to surreptitiously observe him for an extended period of time to detect his highly sophisticated and effective malingering. Remember, we had a big Hearing about that in this case, Judge.

Her diagnosis, Antisocial Personality
Disorder and malingering. Neither excuse
anything. Antisocial, not treatable. That's
being criminal. And malingering, well, that's
faking mental illness to try to get something
out of criminal liability in this case.

We have seen this before. This Court has experienced criminals who have lifetime exposure to mental health treatments, numerous inconsistent diagnoses, they learn to be very good at malingering. Because, one, the two ways you can get really good at faking mental illness is you be in places, facilities or experiences where you can observe somebody who is truly mentally ill and learn how to mimic behavior. And, two, get feedback from clinicians who are trying to treat you and they evaluate your presentation and they tell you I believe you are mentally ill or they don't and you modify your presentation. We've seen this before.

1.3

2.5

And when we look at Dr. Chapin's report, the Defendant was engaged in highly sophisticated deception to make it look like he was mentally ill. And she detected only through lengthy observations when he wasn't aware he was being observed.

This is a highly sophisticated, violent and dangerous individual. He didn't just, quote, react. He brought the gun. He kept it at the ready, loaded. And then he retaliated against the person who not only he warned would

get his, quote, ass beat, but he did so while the person was actually calling 911.

2.5

And then he claims not to remember what happened. How convenient. More manipulation. Reaction? Yes. Reaction to being caught and reported.

This is an individual who has a history as shown solves his problems with violence. He combines that with the substance -- you combine that with the substance abuse issue, the sophistication, manipulating the system and we have a person that's very dangerous to the public.

Now, there is no criminal record. He was 17. You can't get a criminal record before you are 17. This is a person who will do this again if he is left unchecked. Maybe not this same thing, but he will victimize someone in the future and do so violently. Because that's how he deals with his problems. That's how he reacts to situations.

Remember, the fact that he brought the gun to the situation should not be lost on the Court. If he knows that he has this problem with anxiety and reacts violently, now he adds a

gun to the mix. And he used it. If that's even true.

1.3

2.0

2.5

This man has a dangerous and violent character. This man has a dangerous, violent character. He is not a boy, he is not a child. He is an adult. His anxiety, his pain, his challenges, we have spent a lot of time discussing how difficult this has been for the Defendant.

Let's not lose sight of the fact that the victims, also because of him, had to deal with pain, anxiety and challenges that he alone inflicted on them for nothing more than doing their jobs. A loss prevention agent and a manager trying to prevent retail theft and an off-duty police officer trying to do what his career is, to stop crime. Just for doing their jobs over \$38 in ammunition.

The public needs protection. Long incarceration is warranted to protect the public. This is a very violent individual with a history of solving problems with aggression and violence.

The Presentence Investigation recommends three to four years initial confinement with

738 days of credit. Under that sentence, he will be out in less than a year or just short of two years, well before he is 21.

1.3

2.0

2.5

The Defendant in the Presentence

Investigation done by the Department opines that five to seven years prison is appropriate. That would have him out before he is 24 on the higher end. The Department of Corrections Presentence is 13 to 16 years Initial Confinement with seven to ten years Extended Supervision. That would be put him in his late 20s early 30s with supervision to around age 40.

And one thing that I think needs to be made note of is this discussion about how he has done so much better since he has been incarcerated. He does well in a confined setting. Mr. Berger points that out that that's probably the best place to get his medication monitored. I agree.

And what's more, is when he goes to jail, he didn't do well at first. He was disruptive, he flooded his cell, apparently I heard something about contraband, but none of that -- none of that is explained away by saying well, he is doing better now because of his medication

change alone.

1.3

2.0

2.5

He has adjusted to institutional confinement. And he has done well. He has good institutional adjustment. He does well in a confined setting. And the public is protected from him. I believe the Initial Confinement period should be 15 years. With credit, that will let him out when he is 32. But I also believe that the Defendant needs to be on supervision for as long as we can manage. And the 20 years of Extended Supervision is the maximum that the Court can impose for that period. And I think that's what it should be. That would keep him on supervision until after his 50th birthday.

And we talk about brain development, getting him out when he is 32, the brain will be developed by then. I don't really put a whole lot of stock in this case who is a violent individual who is going to re-offend. And he is going to re-offend even under the sentence that I am recommending.

After reading all the material, studying the case, I am convinced he is going to offend violently. And not to impose this type of

sentence would not be doing everything we can to protect the public.

2.5

I don't relish the idea of sending a teenager to prison for 15 years, but this is one of those cases that just stands out and an individual who stands out.

This is the kind of senseless extremely dangerous situation that we used to believe was a big city problem. Jared Spencer brought this violence to Beaver Dam. His actions, in fact, did not only affect Mr. Kruse, Ms. Kunkel, Mr. Berger, but has shaken the very core of our small time town values.

He needs to go to prison to protect the public for a long time. I think he is going to re-offend and I encourage the Court to impose that sentence.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Giesen, would you like to commence your presentation when we reconvene?

I'm thinking 1 o'clock.

ATTORNEY GIESEN: If it would take us that long to get lunch, we can come back at quarter to or whatever.

THE COURT: I realize that you are going

1 to want to respond. So you may want some time to talk to your client and plan your strategy 2 3 and these people want to be able to get downtown, get ordered, get served and get back 4 5 Are we rolling the dice time-wise if we come back at 1:00? 6 7 ATTORNEY GIESEN: We can accomplish that. 8 THE COURT: I don't want to be cutting it 9 short at the end. If you think we are really 10 cramped, we can come back at quarter to 1:00. 11 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Unless Mr. Giesen's 12 presentation is going to be three hours in 1.3 length, I don't think we are going to be cramped for time. 14 15 THE COURT: Let's do 1:00. We can get 16 lunch served without having to rush. You will 17 have time to prepare your -- fine tune or modify 18 your comments based on Mr. Klomberg's 19 presentation and that should hopefully leave me 20 some time to make my record. 21 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Recess and reconvene at 1:00. 23 (Whereupon, a short recess 24 was taken.) 2.5 We'll recall 15-CF-256. THE COURT: The

1	appearances are the same as previously stated.
2	I believe Mr. Klomberg concluded his
3	remarks. Am I correct, Mr. Klomberg?
4	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I did, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Exhibits 1 and 2 have been
6	offered and received. I have been informed that
7	the immediate victims to this offense are here
8	and the other person did not intend to come
9	back.
10	So Attorney Giesen, you have the floor.
11	ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	Ramona Doctor would like to address the Court
13	with respect to her grandson, Jared.
14	THE COURT: Please come up to the witness
15	stand and when you are by the flag, raise your
16	right hand.
17	(Witness sworn.)
18	RAMONA DOCTOR,
19	called as a witness herein, having been first
20	duly sworn, was examined and testified as
21	follows:
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY THE COURT:
24	Q. Please have a seat. Please feel free to
25	adjust the microphone as needed. Make sure that
	64 -

it's close enough. Take a deep breath and relax and start by giving us your name?

1.3

2.0

2.5

A. My name is Ramona Doctor. And I'm from Madison. And Jared is our grandson. We used to take care of Jared when he was smaller. And I know how old he was and then he finally was old enough to kind -- so Jared is not a monster.

Jared is a good, kind person when he's not involved in something that he should not be. He was not taught that way because we raised four children. And none of those have got anything that they land behind doors, bars I should say.

But that's not the case.

And what he did, we feel very sorry for the people that this happened. And it isn't just that. I'm saying it today, but we have thought about that a lot. And we're sorry. And so I thought it would be nice to talk about Jared, something nice about him. Because he was more or less our child because we took care of him so much.

And whenever we picked him up from school or something they all said bye Jared, bye Jared, we'll see you tomorrow. And he was always very concerned about children in wheelchairs and he

talked to them or took them to places, you know, wherever they wanted -- excuse me -- wanted to go. And then he was very thoughtful with older people. He was -- he took care of them.

1.3

2.5

I know. I had a back surgery and he took care of me and he took care of his grandfather, too, which he lost now. He didn't even get to see grandpa in his last moments anymore.

So I just want to say that he's not a monster. That he's a good child, but things do happen. And we preached and preached on things that we thought were wrong and he finally said to me one day, grandma, they shot people again on television. I said Jared, they don't kill those people. If they would kill those people, there would be nobody to make those movies.

And then I heard him say they don't kill those people, they just pretend. Which I felt very good. Because I was the one that taught him that.

So I mean, as I said, we're very sorry for what happened to the family that, you know, I don't know much about it or anything about it, but he is not a monster. He is a good boy when he is not in the wrong bunch. And I think

1 that's probably what happened. He got into the 2 wrong bunch. As everybody does. So to get involved in those things and then when it's 3 done, it's done. 4 5 So that's about all I have to say. I was just going to say something decent about Jared. 6 7 you'll have to excuse me. I am an asthmatic. 8 That's why my voice sounds like this, especially if I talk a lot. So we are sorry what happened, 9 10 but it happened. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Geisen? 12 1.3 ATTORNEY GIESEN: I have nothing further. 14 THE COURT: Attorney Klomberg? 15 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: No, thank you. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, very much, ma'am. 17 You may step down. 18 (Witness excused.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. Attorney Giesen, you 20 may proceed. 21 ATTORNEY GIESEN: Thank you. 22 Your Honor, this is obviously a sad and 23 solemn day. It has had a serious impact on the 24 lives of all the families, all the persons 2.5 peripherally involved.

But I would like to emphasize to this

Court, that in spite of all the terrible things

Mr. Klomberg has said about Jared Spencer, you

have standing before you today for sentencing a

teenager.

1.3

2.5

At the time this incident occurred he was a mere junior in high school. And we haven't talked much today about the Law which the Court is obligated to and we are confident will follow, but the Law recognized, as I pointed out in correspondence to the Court -- and this is the United States Supreme Court, quote, children are constitutionally different from adults for purposes of sentencing. Because juveniles have diminished culpability and greater prospects for reform. We explain they are less deserving of the most severe punishments.

The Supreme Court in reaching that conclusion studied and cited to a great deal of empirical evidence that led them to conclude that youth's brains develop differently.

We provided the Court with a great deal of scientific research in that area. And consequently, they lack maturity and have an underdeveloped sense of responsibility. And

that, in turn, leads to recklessness, impulsivity, poor decisions and heedless risk taking.

1.3

2.5

Those words of the Supreme Court describe

Jared Spencer perfectly.

Turning to the offense, certainly those are attributes are no excuse for what Jared did that day. It was an awful crime, it really was. And it did have an impact on the victims exceptionally so.

However, I'd like to briefly touch on a few of the things Mr. Klomberg pointed out that we feel are mischaracterizations or else not supported by the evidence.

For example, I believe he indicated certainly Jared's conduct indicated he wasn't using any judgment at all, let alone his best judgment. But if you look at the incident itself and you could see it on the video, parts of this Jared was in the store, he did shoplift. As he left, you could see in the video he was surrounded by three people and given his anxiety and mental health history, that probably had a disproportionate affect on him.

One thing that wasn't clear from the

video was Mr. Berger pounding on the window of his car. And, again, certainly Fleet Farm is in no way to blame for this, but I just read yesterday ironically about, I believe it was Wal-Mart, has a policy that they don't pursue shoplifters out into the parking lot and risk physical confrontations because it's not worth \$38 to anyone.

1.3

2.5

But Mr. Klomberg implied, as I understood his argument, that Jared who had a two-inch snub nose revolver was attempting to shoot the telephone out of a person's hand. Well, that's first off, a preposterous, remarkable feat of marksmanship. He had only gotten that gun a week or two before, had never fired it before.

And the truth, the fact of the matter is he wasn't firing at any one in particular. It is a tragedy that Mr. Kruse was struck, but that was certainly not Jared's intention. Had he intended to harm those people, obviously there would have been more than one shot other than the impetuous single shot he fired as he was fleeing.

Mr. Klomberg also might have implied that Jared has some sort of juvenile history. That's

absolutely not true. He has no criminal history. He is standing before this Court as a first offender. He has never been in Juvenile Court. And he has never physically attacked anyone. He doesn't have any history of violence.

2.5

Mr. Klomberg also alluded to Ms. Chapin's report that mentioned for the first time in 14 years of psychiatric care anyone ever mentioned malingering. Ms. Chapin was one of the psychologists at Mendota who called me to speak to me about Jared. And she talked to me one or two minutes and concluded her remarks by saying I am going to find him competent.

Well, okay, she did. He is back before

Court and thankfully he benefited from the

treatment at Mendota Mental Health, but to say

that he does not suffer from a mental illness is

just outrageous.

The Court has reviewed -- the Court appointed psychiatrists, Dr. Schoenecker and Dr. Lee, both of whom are psychiatrists, diagnosed Jared with serious mental illnesses throughout the course of his treatment. He has been diagnosed with Bi-Polar Disorder, other

disorders.

2.5

To deny that he has serious mental health problems is just ignoring reality. And Your Honor might very well remember we came before this Court, he was having difficulty in confinement in the Dodge County Jail because he wasn't getting proper medications. And Your Honor Ordered -- stated that the Court would impose Orders if necessary to be certain that he gets his appropriate medications at the appropriate times.

He did while he was at Mendota. He has been able to continue on that fairly well with remarkable, commendable results.

Defore the Court today, which one of which has not been addressed at all that the Court has to consider, is our Supreme Court has annunciated in McClary and Gallen, more or less a presumption in favor of Probation. What the Court said is the Court should impose the minimum amount of confinement consistent with protection of the public, the gravity of the offense and the rehabilitative needs of the Defendant.

We would submit that the Presentence

Report submitted to the Court by the Department

of Corrections, at least its recommendations

should be disregarded by the Court because that

Presentence Report did not address the issues

that Your Honor has to.

2.5

Specifically, the author of that

Presentence Report never read any psychiatric

history, any medical records. They said they

hadn't received them yet. So that author simply

pulled numbers out of thin air without

addressing or considering the rehabilitative

needs of the Defendant. And as the Law requires

and as we trust, this Court will.

With respect to the gravity of the offense, this is a serious offense. No doubt about it. Mr. Kruse suffered serious injuries, but what the Court has to bear in mind is this was not a premeditated act. This was an impulsive, reactive, but stupid act on the part of Jared.

The police reports and Mr. Berger indicate that when he approached Jared, he was shaking, he appeared panicked and reacted out of panic. It was a stupid, juvenile, impulsive act

on his part.

1.3

2.5

We submit that Jared -- he has never been to jail before in his life. We submit that he has been punished commensurate with the gravity of the offense already by having served more than two years mostly in the Dodge County Jail.

We'd also point out, as the Court can see, Jared is a slightly built teenager who would doubtless be prayed upon, victimized, abused and in all likely emotionally destroyed if he were to be placed in a State Prison. He is not the sort of person who has the character or physical stature to protect himself in those circumstances.

The State spoke about the need for protection of the public. We submit, Your Honor, if you look at Jared's entire history since he was a young child, there is no record of him ever attacking or engaging in violent behavior with members of the public. He has never been a threat or menace to the community or to others. He has no history of violence or fighting.

So the needs for protection of the public are not compelling or overwhelming in this case.

I'm sure the Court has seen many people that have multiple batteries, disorderly conduct, repeatedly engaged in violent or disruptive behavior. That's not Jared. He is a first-time offender before the Court. He is, as the background information indicates, immature, which substantiates the lack of a neurological development that the U.S. Supreme Court referred to. He was socially awkward.

2.5

He seems with the two years that have passed to have gained some insight, perspective in maturity. As I indicated, and the science indicates, the juvenile brain of 17-year-olds is just not the same as that of an adult particularly with respect to risk taking and his ability to make good choices.

We also submitted to the Court, again, we believe it's beyond debate that he has substantial mental health needs. So the question the Court has to address is how can those best be met.

And as I pointed out to the Court in our submission, the Wisconsin State Prisons are woefully understaffed with respect to the ability to provide mental health or psychiatric

care with the Wisconsin Resource Center being the sole exception. They have less than half the staff that the American Psychiatric Association recommends at a minimum.

1.3

2.5

So the question is people can say oh, sure he'll get treatment there if he is sent to prison, but the reality is otherwise. There simply are not the resources to treat all of the inmates who are suffering from serious mental illness.

extremely supportive. They are here every week to see him at a minimum. They have implored me to contact the jail to be certain that Jared is getting the appropriate medications. They love their son. They are extremely concerned about his immediate well-being and his long-term well-being.

And we submit that that would best be met if he were under their strict supervision. And, of course, with the Department of Corrections adding the stringent conditions. That is one thing that the State's Presentence Report does properly address; that he maintain absolute sobriety, that he have assessments, attend all

groups, counseling, treatment as recommended, that he maintain full-time employment or schooling and that he comply with all of his medications.

1.3

2.5

There are ways to monitor that. Periodic testing, for one. And obviously, that he have no contact with Mr. Kruse, Berger or Fleet Farm.

One other thing that's very unusual about this case is the very strong family support that Jared has the benefit of. And that's just not to Jared's benefit, that's to all of our benefit, to the entire community.

As I said, his father and mother haven't missed a Court appearance. His grandmother is here, his siblings are here. His sister. They are behind him and will do everything to help and provide him with the guidance and support he needs. I am certain, of course, people come in and stand in front of you in an empty Courtroom. People who aren't fortunate to have that strong support.

Judge, it's apparent that this has been a tragedy for at least two families. And everybody here wishes that July day could be revisited, but it can't. So what the Court has

to address today is what is the appropriate way to resolve this.

1.3

2.5

Jared has spent two years in confinement already. As I indicated, that's a pretty serious punishment for somebody who has never ever been locked up before, who has never committed a crime.

We would suggest that the Court impose and stay a sentence and place Mr. Spencer on Extended Supervision or Probationary Supervision for a lengthy period of time. If the problems that the State imagines ever manifest themselves, then the answer would be clear and Jared would be removed from society.

If the Court feels that some additional incarceration is necessary, and we would respectfully submit it is not, we would suggest a sentence of three or four years Initial Confinement. And the reason we make that suggestion is at that point Jared would be 22 years old. He hopefully would have completed his high schooling while in the institution, but importantly his brain would be mature. That's the age that psychiatrists, psychologists, neurologists recognize a person generally does

reach maturity.

2.5

Finally, I again wish to extend our deepest sympathy to Mr. Kruse and his family.

It was and remains a terrible thing that's going to haunt them forever and it's going to haunt Jared forever, but I was moved by his comment that the Good Lord teaches us to forgive. And Mr. Kruse wanted his children to hear that and learn that lesson today. He is forgiven.

We ask the Court to take that into account, as well, in imposing his sentence.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Spencer, is there anything that you would like to say before sentence is imposed?

DEFENDANT SPENCER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead. You have the floor. Please use the mic.

DEFENDANT SPENCER: On July 15, 2015 I made the worse decision of my life. After stealing two boxes of ammunition, I was confronted by Andrew Kruse and his co-worker, Kendra Kunkel. Another man named Bryce Berger tried to help Andrew and Kendra to get me back into the store.

I walked to my car and closed the door.

I could have just driven away, but I didn't. I
was afraid. I was panicked. And I shot Andrew
and sped off. Andrew was just doing his job.

1.3

2.5

I have never handled myself well in stressful situations. It's something that I have struggled with my entire life. This horrible situation is the result of a hundred poor decisions while being a teenager.

I stopped taking my medication. I stopped going to school. I stopped going to church. I started smoking weed, popping Xanax and Percocet. In a few years I managed to screw up everything that I could have going for me.

Everywhere I look, people are playing with guns and doing drugs.

THE COURT: Say that again.

DEFENDANT SPENCER: Everywhere I looked, people were playing with guns and doing drugs.

And that's not how I want to live my life. A lot of people -- looking at myself now, I wish I could take back the past few years of my life.

I wish I had never gone around people who thought guns and drugs were normal. I wish I could be a kid again.

I made adult decisions that have adult consequences, but I never learned how to be an adult. I wish I could take it all back. Not just for me, but for everyone who has been hurt by my decisions. I'm not sitting here saying that I shouldn't be punished for the horrible mistake I made when I pulled that trigger.

1.3

2.5

I opened a door to a life I don't want to live. All I want to do now is close that door.

My only chance to straighten out my life is through the mercy of the Courts. I just pray that I am left with enough time to create a meaningful life for myself.

I don't know if I will ever understand why I did what I did, but I did it. And I know it was wrong. On July 15, 2015, I shot Andrew Kruse and I'm so, so sorry.

Andrew, I affected your life in one of the worst ways possible to affect someone's life. I wish I could take it back, but I can't. My apology can never be expressed adequately in words alone, but I never felt more regret, shame about my actions than I do now.

I also want to apologize to Kendra and Bryce. Nobody should have to go through what

1 they did that day. I'm truly sorry. And I hope 2 you can find in your hearts to accept my 3 apology. That's all. 4 5 THE COURT: Any reason why sentence should not now be imposed? 6 7 ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Well, before I forget to say it, that was one of the most well-delivered 9 10 comments I have ever heard from anybody. 11 And, Mr. Spencer, do I have your 12 permission to read parts of that without 1.3 disclosing your name to other young people who might be sitting in that chair? 14 15 DEFENDANT SPENCER: Of course, 16 Your Honor. THE COURT: Every one in here has an 17 emotional investment in this case. God or bad, 18 19 the facts that are reported in both the Prosecution and the Defendant's Presentence 20 21 Memorandums basically are in agreement. I found 22 two statements that didn't quite match up. 23 Whether they are important enough for me to 24 mention here today or not, I don't know. 2.5 I want to start out by saying that this

crime is not the fault of Mr. Spencer's father, mother, teachers, treatment professionals, Fleet Farm employees or anyone else but Jared Spencer.

1.3

2.5

I've got to believe that his mom and dad are in a living hell right now probably asking themselves every day what could we or should we have done.

The reports indicate that dad did not believe that the meds were appropriate for Jared when he was a young child. I was Family Court Commissioner for 29 years. It's common that moms and dads don't agree on the meds that the child should or shouldn't be getting. Most of them end up growing up perfectly well-adjusted and never have to sit in that chair. A parent has a right to make that discretionary decision as to what treatment their children should receive.

The reports indicate mom was lenient and dad was strict. Not uncommon that one or the other is lenient and one or the other is strict. Most of the time the kids grow up more or less perfectly fine.

Mom and dad let Jared choose who he wanted to live with. I will tell you just as an

editorial comment, that I as Judge and Family

Court Commissioner never allow the child to

decide where he or she wants to live unless in a

rare occasion everybody is well-adjusted and

there is no controversy and no fighting, which

happens once in awhile, but as a general rule

it's a bad idea because you lose your control

over the child.

1.3

2.5

What's the difference between an adult and a child. The parents have control over a child that they don't have over an adult. A parent can even walk into the doctor's office or the school office and find out what their kids medical exam was or grades are.

So when you let the child decide where he is going to live, you just basically cut your own control in half or worse. But did that have anything to do with this? I don't think it did. So don't blame yourself for all these difficult decisions you had to make while this person was growing up.

With the benefit of hindsight, we can all say that maybe we should have acted differently.

Maybe the people at Fleet Farm need to revise their policies. Nothing that Fleet Farm or the

Fleet Farm employees or the off-duty police officer did had any causal effect whatsoever on this crime. So if you want to reflect on it and do an analysis and decide if maybe you should have done something different, fine, but don't blame yourself for this.

2.5

The evidence indicates that while Jared was at Mendota Mental Health he was taking his meds on a consistent basis with noticeable improvement. Everybody has commented to that effect, but with hindsight maybe a Chapter 51.20 commitment would have been in the treatment that he should have received.

The problem is when I look back at the record, there probably was no particular incident that would have prompted somebody to think of that. So here we are.

The sentencing report of Ms. Schmidt on Page 18 says Jared poses some risk to the public. This is his Presentence Report because of his mental health issues and past substance abuse. It is imperative that he continue to receive mental health treatment both in and out of custody. And I think -- well, I accept all of the mental health comments that have been

made and really there is no dispute. The same records are in both Presentence Reports.

1.3

2.5

The mental health issues do not rise to the level of a defense. And I am not aware of any authority for the proposition of -- the proposition that Anxiety Disorder or depression, which are the two most consistent common threads in all of his diagnoses, could be expected to be a major contributor to Mr. Spencer's conduct.

This young man has had a serious conduct problem since the age of four. Normally, I would not consider conduct of a person at the age of four to be the least bit significant to any decision I have to make. And Mr. Spencer is going to be sentenced for what happened on July 15, 2015, not on what he did in grade school and not on what he did in high school, but the significance of this conduct record that goes back to age four is that it shows a consistent pattern of behavior which due to the fact that he was 17 is the only evidence that we have as to what and who is Jared Spencer.

Some people say we are what we eat.

Well, you could also say we are what we do. I

do not believe it's common for a preschool child

to be expelled from preschool for uncontrollable behavior. Now, whether that happened or not, I don't know, but that's what's in the report.

1.3

2.5

As I said before, I wouldn't care about that if it weren't for the fact that that was the start of the pattern of consistent behavior problems that have been associated with Jared Spencer through his entire life.

Third grade 2006 and 7, weekly or monthly calling teacher's names and not getting along with other students. February of '09 suspended from school for tearing apart the assistant principal's office. In 2012, suicide threat, disorderly conduct in school, refused to do homework. In 2015, swore at a teacher something to the effect -- I don't know if I copied it entirely correctly, quote, this is fucking bullshit, you better get Mrs. G here before I kick your ass. And then later to someone else fuck you, bitch.

He lost a job as a result of a disagreement, which I usually interpret it to be a euphemism for insubordination. What happened, I don't know, but most people who have a disagreement at work lose their job. The boss

always wins the argument.

1.3

2.5

Right before the shooting happened, he told the Fleet Farm people who were doing their job that's how people get their ass beat. Not very pleasant things to have to listen to in Court.

Mr. Giesen, who did a wonderful job of representing this young man and did a wonderful job today, alluded to some of the things that Mr. Klomberg said. Mr. Klomberg didn't make those things up. They are there. They are not going away.

And there isn't a lot -- there is no -how should I put this? After giving it some
thought, I came up with one little tiny silver
lining in looking at this whole series of
events. Nobody got killed. Other than that, I
am not coming up with a flip side or a silver
lining because there just isn't any.

Maybe two. He is still a young man. He still has time to make something of himself.

And I have heard reports of people who walk out of prison, moved away, started a new life and actually stayed out of trouble for the rest of their lives.

So is it possible, Mr. Spencer? It's possible. Is it easy? No. But if ever there was a time when you do dedicate yourself to helping yourself do better, this would be the time to start. You have friends and family who are willing to support you.

1.3

2.5

As Mr. Geisen said, very few people sitting in that chair have anybody in Court with them. And you do.

After this incident happened, the other thing anchoring this chain of conduct that impresses me, unfortunately not to his benefit, is that after he had been arrested, he flooded his cell, threw water, he didn't think it was a big deal. And he refused his meds.

And I am finding it very difficult to reconcile how a 17-year-old arrested for attempted homicide and in the jail could -- I mean, I realize 17-year-olds do that stuff all the time, but one would think when you are in jail for having shot somebody and you realize that you quit taking your meds three weeks ago, that this would be a good time to just do what you are told for once.

By refusing his meds, he sent a signal to

the staff that he does what he wants when he wants. If he doesn't want to take his meds, he is not going to take his meds.

2.5

And the reason that I am mentioning that is that ties in with what got us here. He had a mom, a dad, school, people, counselors, any number of counselors working with him. He could not or would not take his meds. And when I am sitting here trying to decide what do we do with Jared now, and I find in the report that when he was in jail after he had been arrested and was offered and prompted to take his meds, he refused to take them.

And now I am being asked to let him go back home and let his mom and dad, and I am not criticizing mom and dad at all. I raised two kids. I could never get them to do what I wanted to do, they did what they wanted.

Fortunately, most of the time it was what I wanted, but if they didn't want to do it, they didn't do it. That's life. Kids come in here all the time in trouble and I say I can't make you do anything. You are the one driving the boat. The thing of it is you push, somebody is going to push back. So you can't get somebody

to do what they don't want to do.

1.3

2.5

Jared Spencer doesn't want to take his meds. Never did. The question is will he ever. Now we are in a situation where I have to weigh his ability to stick with the meds against the public's safety. And I am being asked to roll the dice and he has no good track record whatsoever for me to look back on and say yeah, he can do this.

If it hadn't been for the incident in jail, I'd say maybe, but that is a big -- to me that is a very important fact here. You can't do it when the jailer prompts you to do it, it's not going to happen.

Due to his age and the science of adolescent brain development, Jared Spencer is entitled to leniency at sentencing. Normal brain development of adolescents makes it more likely that they will take unreasonable risks and makes it more difficult for them to exercise judgment or appreciate the consequences of their actions.

An argument could be made that Jared's brain development was adversely affected by the trauma resulting from the stress of his parents

divorce. A lot of kids grow up with a nasty divorce and they don't all end up sitting in Mr. Spencer's chair. So I don't know if that had anything to do with it or not.

2.5

The public policy and the Law which says that Mr. Spencer is entitled to leniency is supported by science which is generally accepted in the scientific community, the medical community, the mental health community and the legal community. And it's the Law. So I'm not arguing with it or resistant to it one bit. I understand it. And even if I didn't like it, it's the Law so I have to follow it.

At the same time, for those of you who don't like it, an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth isn't the Law, either. The Law is what it is. So we have to follow it.

Here are the facts that I think are most pertinent to my decision. Mr. Spencer has a long history of mental health issues. A long history of mental health medications and treatment. Facts tend to indicate that his condition improves when he is on the right meds and when he takes them. He does not always consistently take his meds. He stated that he

stopped taking his meds a few weeks before this happened.

1.3

2.5

His mom said she put the meds out, assumed he was taking them, which implies to me that it's not just recently that he quit, but maybe he hasn't been taking them all along, but that I am not sure about.

Mom reports that his mood was horrible when he stopped taking his meds. And lastly, which I already commented, he refused to take the meds even when prompted to do so in jail.

Mr. Spencer overreacts to tactile stimulation. He becomes extremely anxious and distressed when someone comes into close proximity to him or if he is touched. I am not sure, there is no evidence that says the extent to which he has control over this or the extent to which treatment can help, but this has been what's reported.

Mr. Spencer, his parents, all of his treatment providers, the school counselors and all the people that have worked with him over the years have not been able to control his behavior which has resulted in a persistent pattern of destructive and aggressive behavior,

absence from school and a conviction for attempted first degree intentional homicide.

1.3

2.5

His conduct has resulted in series bodily injury to the person shot. The serious long-term result to pain, suffering, disability and psychological damage to them, the families, everybody who saw it and a substantial list that harmed everybody that was in the neighborhood. How many people were within range of that 357 at the time it went off. You are talking about Fleet Farm in Beaver Dam. You are not out in the middle of a field.

Attorney Giesen, the Wisconsin Prison System does not have adequate resources to treat inmates for the mental health issues. Maybe if I had had the Director of Mental Health issues here, they might say wait a minute, the American Psychiatric Association recommends high in the sky stuff and what we are doing is more than adequate. I don't know what they would say, but I'm sure they would like to be heard on that.

But I think it's reasonable to believe that he's -- that his level of mental health treatment would maybe not be ideal in the

Wisconsin Prison System. I have heard all kinds of reports from people that have been there and say I never got the treatment I thought I was going to get. Whether it be substance abuse treatment, mental health treatment or whatever.

2.5

So one of the things that I have to comment on, treatment whether it be substance abuse treatment or mental health treatment isn't going to be delivered like a pizza. If you need it or if you have a loved one that needs it or a friend that needs it, you have to take the responsibility of getting it and following through. It's not somebody else's job to make sure you get the treatment.

Now, he is a 17-year-old. You don't expect a 17-year-old to do that and his mom and dad had, to their credit, put him in as much treatment as they could, but at this point on we're getting as much treatment as he can, but it's not a perfect world. I don't know what he's going to get.

I conclude from those facts that

Mr. Spencer's inability to control his actions

constitutes a substantial risk to the safety of

himself and the public. By drawing a gun, he

could have been shot by the off-duty policeman or someone else who had a concealed carry permit.

1.3

2.5

He caused irreparable harm to a large number of victims and could have harmed or killed more. There is no reason at this time to believe that any level of supervision will ensure the safety of the public. Mr. Spencer cannot be trusted to take his meds as prescribed. And I am not aware of any amount of the supervision outside a custodial setting that would be adequate. I don't buy the argument. The facts don't support the argument that he can take his meds as prescribed without being in custody. He doesn't always take them when he is in custody.

Now, there are some conflicting interpretations that I want to address. I specifically reject the assertion that Mr. Spencer overreacted to the actions of someone else or that the gun accidentally went off or that he did not intend to harm someone.

Now, I can't read his mind, but a Jury is instructed that you can find intent by looking at the circumstances. I find that the reports

of the victims and witnesses is accurate. 1 2 Mr. Spencer threatened harm to the victims 3 before he fired the gun. He slowed or almost stopped the vehicle, retrieved a handgun, rolled 4 5 the window down, pointed the handgun at the victim and pulled the trigger striking the 6 7 victim. I don't know how far away they were, 8 but they were at least one car length away as viewed by the video. Agreed everybody or not? 9 10 Because he had to pull it out far enough 11 to avoid the parked cars he drove behind. So he 12 had to be one car length away. And they were on the sidewalk. 1.3 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: That's a reasonable 14 15 assumption, Judge. THE COURT: Am I misinterpreting the 16 17 video, Mr. Giesen? 18 ATTORNEY GIESEN: I would say it appeared 19 to be at least 20 feet away. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So he was in a locked 21 vehicle. He could have driven away. He 22 deliberately and intentionally slowed down, 23 lowered the window, retrieved the gun, pointed 24 it and hit somebody. The gun was a revolver. 2.5 Revolvers come in two different kinds, single

action and double action.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

What is the significance of that? single action has to be cocked. So it's one thing to point the revolver at somebody. you cock it, you make the decision that you might fire it. It takes a little effort to cock a revolver. Once it's cocked, it might have a hair trigger or it might have a fairly heavy That depends, but it takes a little trigger. effort to cock a 357 revolver.

> Anybody disagree with that? ATTORNEY KLOMBERG:

THE COURT: If it's a double action, then you don't have to cock it, but the trigger pull So if it's is a lot harder on a double action. a double action, it didn't accidentally go off. It did not happen. Because it takes a lot of trigger pull. So one way or the other, it didn't accidentally go off.

No.

Jared has a long history of mental health issues and a wide variety of diagnoses. Common threads in his various diagnoses over the years include anxiety, depression, Attention Deficit and Hyperactive Disorder and control. And I didn't bother to catalogue all of them, but

those are the ones that appear to come up consistently all throughout his course of treatment.

2.5

One of the more recent diagnoses which resulted from his placement at Mendota Mental Health Institute include Antisocial Personality Disorder and malingering.

Malingering is commonly defined as intentional production of false or grossly exaggerated physical or psychological symptoms motivated by external intents such as avoiding work, obtaining financial compensation, aiding criminal prosecution or obtaining drugs.

Antisocial Personality Disorder is described as a disregard in violation of the rights of others. And I am not sure how much the mental health community agrees on the symptoms of Antisocial Personality Disorder or whether it can be treated, but I think the profession agrees that Antisocial Personality Disorder people are likely to be irritable, aggressive, as well as irresponsible. Numerous somatic complaints and, perhaps, attempt suicide.

Due to their manipulative tendencies it's

difficult to tell whether they are lying or telling the truth. I got this from the Psychology Today website. And it's about the same when I look at my copy of the DMS4, it's pretty much the same.

1.3

2.5

Anybody disagree with what I said?
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: No.

THE COURT: I'm not talking as a trained mental health professional, I am just talking about the basic generic terms for these diagnoses.

Now, if one were to accept the validity of these two diagnoses, one could conclude that the primary explanation for his behavior is his Antisocial Personality Disorder and his malingering.

I also note that Antisocial Personality
Disorder is not normally ascribed to a minor or
a child. So maybe that's why it didn't come up
on -- I'm just saying maybe that's why it didn't
come up earlier. I don't know. I do note when
I saw that, here's my reaction to it.

Mr. Spencer's mother reported that Jared missed a lot of school because of stomach problems, but the doctor's couldn't find

anything wrong with him; PSI, Page 15.

2.5

By his own admission he played sick a lot to get out of school; Page 16 of the PSI.

I already outlined his behavior problems. So when you look at the pattern of his behavior, and I don't want to repeat it all now, one could say okay, you made these two diagnoses dovetail, he missed school because he played sick and fooled his mom. He couldn't fool the doctors, but he fooled his mom.

He spent a lot of time at home doing his gaming which gaming in itself is okay, but now he is in a situation where I don't know if it is valid or not, but what he claims is he has these reactions to people invading his space. I'm not a mental health professional. I think it's a fascinating subject, but I don't have professional training on it. I am not going to pretend to be one here.

For the purpose of this sentencing, I am not going to prescribe any particular diagnosis to him because after reading all the reports, I don't know which one is valid and which isn't.

And maybe they are all valid at the time and maybe they are not, I don't know, but it would

be easy to conclude that those two are ones that really fit the conduct.

1.3

2.5

So let's assume for purposes of discussion that Mr. Spencer did not have

Antisocial Personality Disorder or malingering.

The assertion is made that he has these reactions to somebody intruding on his personal space and giving unwanted physical conduct.

The question is, as I analyze, how do we apply these facts to the sentencing? What's the risk to the public given those facts if I assume that those are true?

Under these circumstances releasing Jared Spencer into society, society which contemplates what, people. You don't have society without people. If you want to go to society, it implies interaction with people. If you want a homestead in Alaska and build a cabin and be a mountain man, different story. If you get a job as a forest ranger, in the middle of a forest on a tower with a pair of binoculars and you don't have to talk to anybody, different story, but that's not most people. One cannot go to work, attend a softball game, a flea market, go to school, go to a concert, go to a restaurant or a

bar or a Summer Fest or the State Fair or the County Fair without somebody, over all some people do that still, without somebody encroaching on your personal space. I mean, that's what happens when you are around people.

2.5

How likely is it that you are going to go through daily routine without every once in awhile bumping into somebody who is having a bad day, who's got a bone to pick with somebody, who maybe has had a few drinks too many, which we do live in Wisconsin, and not having some mild confrontation with somebody that's likely to trigger Mr. Spencer's reaction. I mean, it's not going to happen.

You can't go to school, go to work, go out in public without bumping into somebody or occasionally bumping into somebody that wants to pick an argument. So if that what's going on here, that doesn't paint a very good picture for him, either.

So I can't make a finding that his character and rehabilitative needs can be addressed in a setting that is not custodial.

It hasn't worked so far when he was a child and when you had more control over him. Do I like

it? No. I think it's terrible, but I have to look at the seriousness of the offense.

1.3

2.5

I don't think I should spend a lot of time elaborating on the seriousness of the offense, but for the grace of God it would have been a homicide. So 60 years in prison is the max.

Now, if I say something and I misspeak, I want to correct myself and I won't be offended if you say wait a minute, Judge, you got that wrong.

So how much time do I need to spend on elaborating the seriousness of the offense is way up there. Not much. The need to protect the public, well, that needs to be developed a little bit here because obviously when you shoot somebody, the need to protect the public is a major factor. The question is can it be done in some other setting.

And if Mr. Spencer can't take his meds and we can't make sure he takes his med, that's a big problem. If he has this problem with people invading his space and bumping into him and getting into some kind of mild confrontation with him, that's a problem. Apparently it was a

problem all through school. And then he quit school.

1.3

2.5

So the problem was never addressed. What happened was he by his own statement got himself out of school so he didn't have to bump into somebody, he didn't have kids invading his personal space. Maybe that's why he doesn't have more on his record. I don't know.

All I know is this; when I look at the need to protect the public, I cannot make a finding based on his track record that it would be a reasonable risk to put him on Probation.

The facts don't support it. Too much at stake.

His track record just doesn't support it. And I wish I could, because I do not like the idea of having to send somebody to prison at the age of 17.

And I analyzed all of the reports I read, all the things that Mr. Giesen sent me and I believe all the information that he sent me and I'm not fighting it, I am endorsing everything he said about brain development and mental health treatment and all that, but when you pull a gun and point it at somebody and pull the trigger and hit somebody, most people expect you

to go prison for a long time.

1.3

2.5

So the last thing is the character and rehabilitative needs of Mr. Spencer. And I don't have enough information here to know about the rehabilitative needs. And the reason I don't -- let's just put it this way; I don't have as much as I like. Because I know he has a long history of mental health issues.

I know that he has had a long course of treatment and I know he has had a number of different meds over the years. The treatment options have been there. They have been explored. They have been tried. We know that his placement at mental health has improved his condition greatly. Whether it has addressed -- whether the Mendota Mental Health treatment has or would or will address his conduct issues, I don't know. I don't have a report that says yes, we know why he does this and we are treating it.

His behavior has improved. His ability to interact has improved. A lot of improvement has resulted, but is that really addressing his reaction that he has to people, does it really address his ability to control his behavior, his

ability to behave himself when he is in custody, you can only draw so many conclusion from that.

1.3

2.5

Because I can't necessarily find that because he hasn't misbehaved lately, that once we cut him loose that he is not going to go back to the old behavior even with the treatment.

It's not like having a broken leg where somebody can say I set the leg eight weeks ago and now he is good to go. It doesn't work that way.

So I don't know what rehabilitation or if there is a rehabilitation that's going to address his conduct. Still a question mark.

What about his character? Well, there is two Jared Spencer's. There is the good

Jared Spencer and then there is the other one.

And, unfortunately, the good Jared Spencer has to do the time with the other one. There is the Jared Spencer that is kind, he is very bright, I can tell by his statement that he's very bright.

He has excellent communication skills.

He did well in school when he wanted to play football. He got an A in ceramics. And when he didn't want to do something, he got Ds and Fs.

Am I right or wrong, mom and dad? So is he a bright person that can do well if he is in the

right surroundings and has -- I don't know if I should say has a hammer hanging over his head or has a terror out in front of him.

2.5

So I have to believe that he has the ability to be productive and stay out of trouble. He just hasn't been able to do it yet. I haven't had that many people in here where nobody was able to stand up and say there are some good things about him. Those people are really rare where they just can't say anything good about them.

And I have had to say to a lot of moms and dads and people sitting in that chair the bad so and so is going to have to do the time with the good one. That's just the way it is. I can't just send half of you there.

Now, when I look at all three of those factors, I almost wish Mr. Klomberg hadn't made the recommendations that he did because I don't want somebody to say yep, the Judge just latched onto it because that's what he wanted. That is not true. But I will tell you that if he were an adult he'd be getting a lot more. He'd be doing 25 minimum in.

So I have to give him some leniency,

which I am perfectly willing to do, but everything has to be put in perspective, everything has to be put into context.

2.5

There is no context about the seriousness of the offense. When you give me a context -- okay, I'll give you one. Some guy goes in the movie theater and he shoots 50 people. And then you have Jared who shoots one. So one is a lot less than 50. Other than that kind of thing, you can't put pointing a gun at somebody and pulling a trigger and putting it into any context that has any mitigating circumstances behind it. There aren't any.

When I look at the seriousness of the offense, I can't come up with a lot of ways to say well, he isn't that bad. Because it is bad.

And there is a serious threat to the public. I'm not saying he is a raving maniac. I'm saying the bad Jared Spencer isn't taking his meds. And when he's in his mode that he does what he wants to do he is a danger to himself and others.

So when I look at the concept that says we give leniency to juveniles because they are children and their brains aren't as developed, I

have to put that in context. And there is some context there. If he were seven and he picked up a gun and shot somebody and he said to his grandma well, grandma people get shot on TV all the time, different story. Because a seven-year-old doesn't understand permanency of death. The seven-year-old turns the TV on the next day and the same people are all there.

1.3

2.5

A 17-year-old is not in the going to be held to that low of a standard. If he were 18, the standard of leniency wouldn't even a fly.

Now, science would tell you that 18 means nothing. Maybe it should be 22 or maybe it should be 25. And a lot of people would say it should be 30. Maybe it should. But the point is if we were a year older, we wouldn't be talking about the Supreme Court Rules that says you have to give leniency to a child. So when I talk about the leniency, I have to consider the fact that he was 17 and not seven.

The other comments, there are three Supreme Court cases that Mr. Giesen cited, or two I think it was, dealt with mandatory life imprisonment. And we had a 14-year-old and I think a 17-year-old, if I remember the facts

right, that got convicted. One was first degree intentional homicide and the other was something about the same category. And the Statute in the State at the time required mandatory life without parole and the Supreme Court said no, you can't do that to a child.

1.3

2.5

So it's not quite the same facts here because we are not talking about life imprisonment without parole, we are talking about something a little less severe. Severe enough, but not quite the same offense. But I accept and believe in the concept that a juvenile is entitled to leniency under the Law. It is what it is.

The bottom line is this; I think the minimum sentence that would do justice to the need to protect the public and seriousness of the offense, and I know that the family is not -- nobody is going to like this. I know the victims aren't going to like it, either.

But these are the findings that I make based on the facts that both parties gave me; 30 years in the Wisconsin State Prison, 15 in and 20 out.

_111 _

And I agree with what Mr. Klomberg said

that the 15 years will give him time to let his brain finish developing. It's an amount of time that is the minimum amount of time that would do service to the need to protect the public and the seriousness of the offense.

1.3

2.5

And the 20 years I think is appropriate because I have -- I don't know enough about his condition and the supervision that would be required to be able to say how long he needs to be on, but I can't make a finding that something less than that would be appropriate, I guess is the way to put it.

Mr. Spencer is going to be in a position with some educational training, which is available in the prison and with the vocational training that's available to him, to walk out that door and he can hold his head up high and say I paid my debt to society. Now I am going to move on and make something of my life.

Take advantage of whatever mental health treatment is available to you when you get out and stick with it. And if you have to take meds, take the meds and salvage the rest of your life. I think you have the ability to do it.

1	And I also endorse Mr. Giesen's
2	recommendation that it's possible the Wisconsin
3	Resource Center would be something that needs to
4	be seriously looked into. I'm not going to tell
5	the Department of Corrections how to run their
6	institution, that's up to them. They have
7	trained professionals, but I think that
8	Attorney Giesen makes a valid point and that
9	needs to be explored.
10	I see no reason why Mr. Spencer would not
11	be eligible for Substance Abuse and Challenge
12	Incarceration. I don't know the extent to
13	which
14	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: It's a disqualifying offence,
16	isn't it?
17	ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It's a disqualifying
18	offense. He can't be eligible for the programs.
19	THE COURT: That's too bad.
20	Mr. Spencer, you have the right to seek
21	post-conviction relief. If you decide to do so,
22	proper paperwork has to be filed and served
23	within 20 days. Your lawyer will review those
24	options with you.
25	Do you have any questions, sir?

Mr. Giesen, anything else we need to
address?
ATTORNEY GIESEN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Klomberg.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: Just a couple
clarifications, Judge. The Court had pronounced
30 years prison, but then said 15
THE COURT: It should be 35. I'm sorry.
My math escaped me for a minute.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: And the Court has
already ordered the 738 days of credit?
THE COURT: So Ordered.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It should be on the
Judgment. Is the Court Ordering costs?
THE COURT: Does it serve any purpose at
this point?
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: I always just ask for
it.
THE COURT: I'm not going to I take
that back. I'm not ordering a fine, but the
taxpayers are entitled to at least Court costs.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: And the \$38.98 in
restitution to Fleet Farm.
THE COURT: So Ordered.
ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: And the Court has to

order DNA. 1 2 THE COURT: The State Law requires that a DNA sample be provided. With the cost of that 3 there is a DNA surcharge that has to be Ordered. 4 So that will also be ordered. 5 I wish the best of luck to Jared and 6 7 everybody else in this case. Good luck. Court is in recess. 8 MR. GIESEN: Your Honor, if I may. 9 10 respect to DNA, they have already taken it. 11 THE COURT: He only needs to do it once. 12 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: What would they have taken it for? He hasn't been convicted of a 13 crime before today. 14 15 THE COURT: Well, at one time they were 16 taking it when they were taken into custody. I 17 don't know. He has to give a sample. If he has already done it, maybe he doesn't need to do it 18 19 twice. It's my understanding it's not very 20 intrusive. So I don't know how much of a 21 problem that's going to be, but, Mr. Giesen, if

ATTORNEY GIESEN: I would just make the Order conditional and waive costs. He has

you have a problem, you have my permission to

contact me and I'll straighten it out.

22

23

24

2.5

1 already given a sample at the Dodge County Jail. 2 THE COURT: I am Ordering he is giving a sample. If he has already done it, I am not 3 4 Ordering it twice. I am required to Order that 5 he provide a sample. ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: It was just whispered 6 7 in my ear about the appeal rights. If you did, I'm not sure. 8 9 THE COURT: I did. I reminded him that he has 20 days to decide if he wants to seek 10 11 post-conviction relief. 12 Oh, what are the other -- Mr. Spencer, 13 this offense is a felony, upon conviction, which 14 happened awhile ago, you may not vote in any 15 election until your civil rights are restored. Furthermore, it is unlawful for you to possess a 16 17 firearm. Understood? And body armor, if I 18 remember right. 19 ATTORNEY KLOMBERG: This is a body armor 20 offense. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess. 22 (Which were all the proceedings 23 had in the above-entitled cause 24 this date and time.) 25

```
1
         STATE OF WISCONSIN
                              ) SS:
2
         COUNTY OF DODGE
3
 4
5
                 I, KIMBERLY KEEL, Official Court Reporter
 6
         for Dodge County Circuit Court, Branch III, do
7
         hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is
8
         a verbatim transcription of the proceedings held
9
         in the afore-entitled matter, in said Court, at
10
         the Dodge County Justice Facility, Juneau,
11
         Wisconsin, on July 21, 2017, the Honorable
12
         JOSEPH G. SCIASCIA, Circuit Judge, presiding;
13
         that it is a true and correct transcription of
14
         my stenographic notes taken at said Hearing.
15
16
17
         Dated this 14th day of September 2017
18
19
20
21
         Electronically signed by
         Kimberly Keel
22
         Official Court Reporter
         Dodge County Circuit Court, Branch III
23
24
25
```

-117 -